

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/537,301	VERVAET ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Satyendra K. Singh	1657	

All Participants:

(1) Satyendra K. Singh.

(2) B. J. Sadoff (attorney of record).

Status of Application: RCE

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 April 2007

Time: 11:50 AM

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

25-33

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's attorney on record, Mr. Sadoff was called and provided (by a fascimile) with the proposed Examiner's Amendments to the pending claims (allowable claims drawn to a method of using a composition; claims 8, 15-19 and 21-24), that were approved for allowability by the patentability conference (Michael Wityshyn and Sandy Saucier). Applicant's attorney agreed to the proposed amendments to the pending claims. .